

NAME: _____

BLOCK: _____

- CENTRAL HISTORICAL QUESTION -

**GERMAN MILITARISM, AGGRESSION & IMPERIALISM:
WAS APPEASEMENT THE RIGHT POLICY FOR ENGLAND IN 1938?**

Pictured below: British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain appeasing Hitler at the Munich Conference in 1938



LESSON OBJECTIVE

- 1.) **DEFINE & ANALYZE** “appeasement” in the context of 1938
- 2.) **ARGUE** whether appeasement would have prevented German aggression in 1939

PART I: DO NOW & DEBRIEF

DIRECTIONS: Respond to the prompt on the screen. As the mini-discussion continues, mark down any notes that may add to your growing understanding

PART II: MINI-LECTURE

DIRECTIONS: As you listen to the brief mini-lecture to gain background information on today's inquiry, make note of vital concrete historical content and any big idea concepts in the space provided.

CONCRETE HISTORICAL CONTENT		BIG IDEA CONCEPTS
MARCH - 1938	Germany annexes Austria	
SEPTEMBER 22-24, 1938	British Prime Minister, Neville Chamberlain, meets with Hitler. Hitler claims Sudetenland region of Czechoslovakia would be the final German demand for land.	
SEPTEMBER 29, 1938	Germany, Italy, Great Britain & France sign the Munich Agreement at the <u>Munich Conference</u>	
MARCH 14-15, 1939	Germany breaks the Munich Agreement	
MARCH 31, 1939	France & Great Britain agree to support Poland against Nazi aggression	
SEPTEMBER 1, 1939	Germany invades Poland	
SEPTEMBER 3, 1939	Honoring their support of Poland, Great Britain & France declare war on Germany, beginning what goes on to become World War II.	

PART III: DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

DIRECTIONS: Read Documents A & B. Respond to the guiding questions. Upon completing the questions, create a hypothesis to the central historical question: *Was appeasement the right policy for England in 1938?*

**DOCUMENT A: BRITISH PRIME MINISTER – NEVILLE CHAMBERLAIN
(MODIFIED)**

What is the alternative to this bleak and barren policy of the inevitability of war? In my view it is that we should seek by all means in our power to avoid war, by analyzing possible causes, by trying to remove them, by discussion in a spirit of collaboration and good will. I cannot believe that such a program would be rejected by the people of this country, even if it does mean the establishment of personal contact with dictators...

...I do indeed believe that we may yet secure peace for our time, but I never meant to suggest that we should do that by disarmament, until we can **induce** others to disarm too. Our past experience has shown us only too clearly that weakness in armed strength means weakness in diplomacy, and if we want to secure a lasting peace, I realize that diplomacy cannot be effective unless...behind the diplomacy is the strength to give effect...

I cannot help feeling that if, after all, war had come upon us, the people of this Country would have lost their spiritual faith altogether. As it turned out the other way, I think we have all seen something like a new spiritual revival, and I know that everywhere there is a strong desire among the people to record their readiness to serve their Country, where-ever or however their services could be most useful....

SOURCE: October 5, 1938, Neville Chamberlain. Neville Chamberlain met with Adolf Hitler twice in 1938 to discuss Germany's aggressive foreign policy. On September 30, 1938, they signed the Munich Pact, which gave the Sudetenland of Czechoslovakia to Germany. In exchange, Hitler agreed that Germany would not seek to acquire additional territory.

DOCUMENT A
GUIDING QUESTIONS

1) When and where did this speech take place? What was Chamberlain's goal for the Munich Agreement? (*Sourcing*)

2) Why might people in England in the 1938 support appeasement? (*Context*)

3) What did Chamberlain claim England should do while pursuing the policy of appeasement? (*Close reading*)

**DOCUMENT B: FORMER SECRETARY OF WAR, WINSTON CHURCHILL
(MODIFIED)**

...I will begin by saying what everybody would like to ignore or forget but which must nevertheless be stated, namely, that we have sustained a total...defeat...The utmost he [Chamberlain] has been able to gain for Czechoslovakia and in the matters which were in dispute has been that the German dictator, instead of snatching his *victuals* (ie food) from the table, has been content to have them served to him course by course.

...I have always held the view that the maintenance of peace depends upon the accumulation of preventions against the aggressor, coupled with a sincere effort to make right of all the grievances...After the [German] seizure of Austria in March...I ventured to...pledge that in conjunction with France and other powers they would guarantee the security of Czechoslovakia while the Sudeten-Deutsch question was being examined either by a League of Nations Commission or some other **impartial** body, and I still believe that if that course had been followed events would not have fallen into this disastrous state...

I venture to think that in the future the Czechoslovak State cannot be maintained as an independent entity. You will find that in a period of time, which may not be measured by years, but may be measured only by months, Czechoslovakia will be engulfed in the Nazi regime...We are in the presence of a disaster of the first magnitude which has befallen Great Britain and France...This is only the beginning of the reckoning...

SOURCE: Winston Churchill to the House of Commons, October 5, 1938. Winston Churchill was the loudest and most important critic of Chamberlain's policy of appeasement. He believed that Hitler and Germany needed to be dealt with more firmly. The is from part of a speech Churchill made to the House of Commons as they debated the Munich Agreement.

DOCUMENT B
GUIDING QUESTIONS

1) When and where did this speech take place? What was Churchill's purpose? (*sourcing*)

2) What does Churchill mean when he said instead of "snatching" Hitler's "victuals from the table," Chamberlain "has been content to have them served to him course by course"? (*close reading*)

3) In paragraph 2, what does Churchill claim could have prevented Germany from taking the Sudetenland? Does he offer any evidence for this claim? (*contextualization*)

APPEASEMENT HYPOTHESIS #1

DIRECTIONS: After reading Documents A & B, create a hypothesis regarding the central historical question: Was appeasement the right policy for England in 1938? Cite evidence from the documents to support your answer.

APPEASEMENT HYPOTHESIS #2

DIRECTIONS: After reading Documents C, D & E, create a hypothesis regarding the central historical question: Was appeasement the right policy for England in 1938? Cite evidence from the documents to support your answer.

DOCUMENT C: VERNON BARTLETT

The mood of the German officials when it was announced that the Prime Minister (Chamberlain) would not see the Chancellor (Hitler) again was one almost of panic. This meant either war or a Hitler surrender. The crowds that applauded Chamberlain as he drove along the Rhine consisted not so much of ardent nationalists, delighted that a foreign statesman had come to make **obeisance** to their Fuehrer, as of ordinary human beings who wanted to be kept out of war.

Since history cannot - thank God - repeat itself, one cannot produce proof to support one's opinions, but I am firmly convinced that, had Chamberlain stood firm at Godesberg, Hitler would either have climbed down or would have begun war with far less support from his own people than he had a year later.

The British forces, one is told, were **scandalously** unprepared, and were able to make good some of their defects (become better prepared) during that year. But meanwhile the Western Allies lost the Czechoslovak Army - one of the best on the Continent - defending a country (Czechoslovakia) from which the German armies could be **out-flanked**.

VOCABULARY: Obseisance: respect | Out-flanked: move around to out maneuver

SOURCE: Vernon Bartlett. *And Now, Tomorrow* (1960). Vernon Bartlett was an outspoken critic of the Munich Agreement. He was elected to Parliament in 1938, in part, because of his opposition to appeasement. He was in Godesberg, Germany, working as a reporter when Chamberlain and Hitler met on September 22, 1938. He wrote about the meeting in his book *And Now, Tomorrow* (1960). This an excerpt from the book.

DOCUMENT C
GUIDING QUESTIONS

1) When was this document written? What was Bartlett's purpose in writing it? (*sourcing*)

2) What does Bartlett claim Hitler would have done if Chamberlain had "stood firm" and not pursued appeasement? What, if any, evidence does Bartlett offer to support this claim? (*close reading*)

3) What point does Bartlett make about the British and Czechoslovakian armies? (*contextualization*)

DOCUMENT D: HENRY CHANNON**(MODIFIED)**

Hitler has entered Prague, apparently, and Czechoslovakia has ceased to exist. No balder, bolder departure from the written bond has ever been committed in history. The manner of it surpassed comprehension, and his callous desertion of the Prime Minister is stupefying...The PM must be discouraged and horrified...I thought he looked miserable. His whole policy of appeasement is in ruins. Munich is a torn-up episode. Yet never has he been proved more abundantly right for he gave us six months of peace in which we re-armed, and he was right to try appeasement.

SOURCE: Henry Channon, diary entry, March 15, 1939. Henry Channon was born in America but became a member of Parliament in Britain in 1935. Throughout his life, he kept a detailed diary. The entry below is from the day that Hitler invaded Czechoslovakia - March 15, 1939.

DOCUMENT E: LORD HALIFAX**(MODIFIED)**

When all has been said, one fact remains dominant and unchallengeable. When war did come a year later [in 1939] it found a country and Commonwealth (the United Kingdom) wholly united within itself, convinced to the foundations of soul and conscience that every conceivable effort had been made to find the way of sparing Europe the ordeal of war, and that no alternative remained. And that was the best thing that Chamberlain did.

SOURCE: The Earl of Halifax, *The Fulness of Days*. 1957. Lord Halifax was the British Foreign Secretary from 1938 -1940 and was a key figure in supporting the policy of appeasement. In 1957 he wrote his memoirs, which included long sections devoted to defending the policy of appeasement. The following excerpt comes from his memoirs, *Fulness of Days*, and attempts to give one reason why appeasement was a good policy.

DOCUMENT D
GUIDING QUESTIONS

1) (Sourcing/context) When was this document written? What had just happened?

2) Why does Channon claim appeasement was the right policy? What, if any, evidence does he use to back this claim? (*contextualization*)

DOCUMENT E
GUIDING QUESTIONS

1.) When was this document written? What was Halifax's purpose for writing it? (*sourcing*)

2) Why does Halifax claim appeasement was the right policy? What, if any, evidence does he use to back this claim? (*contextualization*)

PART IV: WHOLE CLASS DISCUSSION

DIRECTIONS: In the note space, prepare for tomorrow class discussion with notes or comments you would like to make in response to the central historical question: *Was appeasement the right policy for England in 1938?*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

- Whose hypotheses changed from Round 1 to Round 2? How and why?
- Which documents did you find the most persuasive? Why?
- Which documents contained the strongest evidence? Which documents needed more evidence to back the authors' claims?
- What other documents or types of evidence would you need to explore to further address whether or not appeasement was the right policy for England in 1938?
- Was appeasement the right policy for England in 1938?

NOTE SPACE: